## Appendix I

Contractual and Strategic Key Performance Indicators

| MEASUREMENT DETAILS |  |  |  | TARGETS AND COMPARATORS |  |  | PERFORMANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2019 |  |  |  |
| Measure Type | Measure | Reporting Frequency | Polarity |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \quad{ }^{\text {Targ }} \\ \text { Target } \end{gathered}$ | Range <br> Tolerance | Benchmarking data available | Qtr1 | Qtr2 | Qtr3 | Qtr4 | Qtr1 | Qtr2 | Qtr3 | Qtr4 | Position | 6 month Trend |
|  | Assessments completed within <45 days | Quarterly | Bigger is better | 90\% | 85\% | Yes | 89\% | 91\% | 89\% | 86\% | 87\% | 91\% | 96\% | 90\% | Better than target | Stable |
|  | Children in need with an appropriate and current plan in place | Quarterly | Bigger is better | 95\% | 90\% | No | 95\% | 94\% | 94\% | 93\% | 94\% | 96\% | 95\% | 95\% | Better than target | Stable |
|  | Percentage of cases where the lead social worker has seen the child/young person in accordance with the timescales specified in the child protection plan. For all children who were the subject of a child protection plan during the year | Quarterly | Bigger is better | 80\% | 75\% | No | 90\% | 84\% | 90\% | 82\% | 85\% | 95\% | 83\% | 98\% | Better than target | Improving |
|  | Initial Child Protection Conferences acheived within the statutory 15 day timescale | Quarterly | Bigger is better | 95\% | 90\% | Yes | 96\% | 100\% | 97\% | 100\% | 100\% | 96\% | 100\% | 98\% | Better than target | Stable |
|  | Short term stability of placements of children in care: $\%$ of children experiencing 3 or more placements in the year | Quarterly | Smaller is better | 9\% | 12\% | Yes | 11\% | 9\% | 10\% | 12\% | 11.0\% | 10.0\% | 8.0\% | 9.0\% | Better than target | Stable |
|  | Statutory Looked After reviews completed in time scale | Quarterly | Bigger is better | 95\% | 90\% | No | New contract measure for 2019/20 |  |  |  | 91\% | 92\% | 94\% | 93\% | In Tolerance | Stable |
|  | Children who wait less than 14 months between entering care and moving in with their adoptive family. | Quarterly | Bigger is better | 60\% | 56\% | Yes | 78\% | 71\% | 61\% | 71\% | 71\% | 60\% | 42\% | 56\% | In Tolerance | Improving |
|  | Care leavers the Trust is in touch with | Quarterly | Bigger is better | 95\% | 90\% | Yes | 99\% | 98\% | 99\% | 99\% | 98\% | 98\% | 98\% | 96\% | Better than target | Stable |
|  | Care Leavers with pathway plans which have been reviewed in timescale | Quarterly | Bigger is better | 95\% | 90\% | No | New contract measure for 2019/20 |  |  |  | 74\% | 79\% | 67\% | 89\% | Outside Tolerance | Improving |
|  | Front line staff receiving Supervision in Timescale | Quarterly | Bigger is better | 90\% | 80\% | No | 91\% | 90\% | 90\% | 87\% | 84\% | 86\% | 79\% | 80\% | In Tolerance | Improving |
|  | Freedom of Information Requests responded to within timescale | Quarterly | Bigger is better | 95\% | 90\% | No | New contract measure for 2019/20 |  |  |  | 89\% | 94\% | 92\% | 90\% | In Tolerance | Stable |
|  | Case file audits graded good or better | Quarterly | Bigger is better | 80\% | 60\% | No | 93\% | 82\% | 78\% | 80\% | 72\% | 82\% | 83\% | 83\% | Better than target | Stable |
|  | Length of intervention for family support services <br> (days) | Quarterly | Smaller is better | 140 days | 180 days | No | 226 | 175 | 169 | 146 | 193 | 173 | 210 | 196 | Outside Tolerance | Improving |
|  | Families demonstrating improved outcomes at point of closure to Parenting and Family Support Team | Quarterly | Bigger is better | 60\% | 40\% | No | 41\% | 68\% | 76\% | 81\% | 69\% | 82\% | 87\% | 83\% | Better than target | Stable |
|  | Referrals that have previously referred where no statutory service was provided | Quarterly | Smaller is better | TBD* | TBD* | No | New contract measure for 2019/20 |  |  |  | 74\% | 56\% | 55\% | 56\% | TBD* | Stable |
|  | Referrals that are re-referrals within 12 months | Quarterly | Smaller is better | 22\% | 28\% | Yes | 26\% | 27\% | 28\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 26\% | 28\% | In Tolerance | Stable |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| MEASUREMENT DETAILS |  |  |  | TARGETS AND COMPARATORS |  |  | PERFORMANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2018/19 | 2019/20 |  |  |  |  | 6 month Trend |
| Measure Type | Measure | Reporting Frequency | Polarity |  |  |  | Target Range |  | Benchmarking data available |  | Qtr1 | Qtr2 | Qtr3 | Qtr4 | Qtr1 | Qtr2 | Qtr3 | Qtr4 | Position |
| Strategic Partnership Indicato | Trust residential settings rated good or better | Quarterly | Bigger is better | 100\% | 80\% | Yes | New contract measure for 2019/20 |  |  |  | 83\% | 83\% | 80\% | 80\% | In Tolerance | Stable |
|  | Average time in days between Local Authority receiving court authority to place a child and deciding on a match to an adoptive family | Quarterly | Smaller is better | 121 | 200 | Yes | 169 | 237 | 129 | 170 | 55 | 90 | 154 | 69 | Better than target | Increasing |
|  | Average time in days between a child entering care and moving in with their adoptive family | Quarterly | Smaller is better | 426 | 460 | Yes | 388 | 469 | 419 | 398 | 285 | 378 | 372 | 365 | Better than target | Stable |
|  | Children ceasing care to be looked after under a Special Guardianship Order (SGO) | Quarterly | Bigger is better | 15\% | 10\% | Yes | 15\% | 12\% | 22\% | 21\% | 20\% | 11\% | 19\% | 20\% | Better than target | Stable |
|  | Children ceasing care to be looked after under a Child Arrangement Order (CAO) | Quarterly | Bigger is better | TBD* | TBD* | No | New contract measure for 2019/20 |  |  |  | 17\% | 17\% | 4\% | 9\% | TBD* | Stable |
|  | Rate of children adopted from care | Quarterly | Bigger is better | 19\% | 14\% | Yes | 14\% | 19\% | 11\% | 22\% | 17\% | 13\% | 17\% | 15\% | In Tolerance | Stable |
|  | Rate of 19 \& 20 year olds Staying Put with their foster carers after their 18th birthday | Quarterly | Bigger is better | TBD* | TBD* | Yes | New contract measure for 2019/20 |  |  |  |  | 30\% | 30\% | 23\% | TBD* | New measure |
|  | Care Leavers in suitable accommodation (age 1921) | Quarterly | Bigger is better | 85\% | 80\% | Yes | 95\% | 95\% | 93\% | 91\% | 96\% | 94\% | 95\% | 95\% | Better than target | Stable |
|  | Care Leavers in Employment, Training and Education (age 19-21) | Quarterly | Bigger is better | 48\% | 40\% | Yes | 49\% | 49\% | 47\% | 47\% | 52\% | 51\% | 50\% | 45\% | In Tolerance | Stable |
|  | Full time equivalent posts covered by agency staff | Quarterly | Smaller is better | 8\% | 12\% | Yes | 7\% | 5\% | 4\% | 4\% | 6\% | 5\% | 6\% | 5\% | Better than target | Stable |
|  | Staff turnover rate | Quarterly | Smaller is better | 16\% | 18\% | No | 15\% | 15\% | 17\% | 15\% | 12\% | 14\% | 12\% | 14\% | Better than target | Stable |
|  | Achieve a minimum of Bronze level rating in child friendly rating | Annual |  | TBD** | TBD** | No |  | ntract | efor 2 |  | Awaitin pro | $\begin{aligned} & \text { from D } \\ & \text { tup wi } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gardinç } \\ & \text { C Youn } \end{aligned}$ | ditation <br> ors | TBD** | New measure |

* To be determined. Measure is new and therefore requires 3 quarters' data before a target and tolerance can be set
** not applicable. Measure is reported for note, but a target will not be set
** Not available due to reporting issues from corporate HR system

